

The 11th/12th January, 1972

No. 275-4Lab-71/1533.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd; Rohtak:—

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 43 of 1971

*Between*

SHRI CHAMAN LAL WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd, Rohtak.

*Present:—*

Shri S. N. Vats for the workman.

Shri Chanchal Singh for the management.

#### AWARD

The management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd; Rohtak brought under retrenchment their employee Shri Chaman Lal with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal,—*vide* order No. ID/RK/35/10827-31, dated 14th April, 1971 with the following term of reference.

Whether the retrenchment of Shri Chaman Lal was justified and in order. If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the reference notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workmen had been brought about as a result of bonfide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalisation of the road transport by the State.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceeding are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim for reinstatement as well as back wages and requested for a no dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given without any order as to costs.

O.P. SHARMA,

Dated 31st December, 1971.

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 5, dated 3rd January, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O.P. SHARMA,

Dated 31st December, 1971.

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 273-4Lab-71/1536.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd; Rohtak:—

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 45 of 1971

*Between*

Shri Jinda Ram WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd; Rohtak.

*Present :--*

Shri S. N. Vats. for the workman.

Shri Chanchal Singh for the management.

AWARD

The management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd; Rohtak brought under retrenchment their employee Shri Jinda Ram with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal.—*Vide* order No. ID/RK/35/10893-71, dated 14th April, 1971 with the following term of reference.

“Whether the retrenchment of Shri Jinda Ram was justified and in order. If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the reference, notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workman had been brought about as a result of bonafide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalization of the road transport by the State.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceedings are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim for reinstatement as well as back wages and requested for a no dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given without any order as to costs.

Dated 31st December, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad.

No. 6 dated 3rd January, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 31st December, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 276-4Lab-71/1537.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak:—

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,  
FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 38 of 1971

*Between*

SHRI PARAS NATH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ROHTAK GOHANA  
BUS SERVICE (P) LTD., ROHTAK.

*Present :*

Shri S. N. Vats for the workman.

Shri Chanchal Singh, for the management.

**-AWARD**

The management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak brought under retrenchment their employee Shri Paras Nath with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal,—vide order No. ID/RK/35/10887-91 dated the 14th April, 1971, with the following term of reference.

“Whether the retrenchment of Shri Paras Nath was justified and in order. If not; to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the reference, notices were given to parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workman had been brought about as a result of bonafide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalisation of the road transport of the State.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceedings are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim for reinstatement as well as back wages and requested for a no dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given without any order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated 31st December, 1971.

No. 3, dated the 3rd January, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated the 31st December, 1971

No. 274-4Lab-71/1539.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Rohtak Gohana, Bus Service (P) Ltd. Rohtak.

**BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD**

**References No. 39 of 1971**

*Between*

**SHRI AJAIB SINGH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ROHTAK GOHANA BUS SERVICE (P) LTD; ROHTAK**

**Present :—**

Shri S. N. Vats for the workman.

Shri Chanchal Singh, for the management.

**AWARD**

The management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd; Rohtak brought under retrenchment their employee Shri Ajaib Singh with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an Industrial Dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal,—vide order No. ID/RK/35/10893-97, dated 14th April, 1971 with the following term of reference.

“Whether the retrenchment of Shri Ajaib Singh was justified and in order. If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the reference, notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workman had been brought about as a result of bonafide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalisation of the road transport by the State.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceedings are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim for reinstatement as well as back wages and requested for a no dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given without any order as to costs

Dated the 31st December, 1971.

O.P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 4, dated the 3rd January, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 31st December, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 277-4Lab-71/1531.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd, Rohtak.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 32 of 1971

*Between*

SHRI MALIK CHAND WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ROHTAK  
GOHANA BUS SERVICE (P) LTD., ROHTAK

*Present :*

Shri S. N. Vats, for the workman.

Shri Chanchal Singh for the management.

AWARD

The management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd; Rohtak brought under retrenchment their employee Shri Malik Chand with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute, Act, 1947, referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal,—vide order No. ID/RK/35/10743-47, dated 14th April, 1971 with the following term of reference.

Whether the retrenchment of Shri Malik Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the reference, notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workman had been brought about as a result of bonafide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalisation of the road transport by the State.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceedings are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim

for reinstatement as well as back wages and requested for a no dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given without any order as to costs.

The 31st December, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 2, dated 3rd January, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

The 31st December, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

B. L. AHUJA,  
Commissioner for Labour and Employment  
Secretary to Government Haryana.

#### FOREST DEPARTMENT

The 6th/10th January, 1972

No. 12931-FAH-II-71/265-A.—The result of the departmental examination of the Forest Officers held in October, 1971, is hereby notified as under :—

| Serial No. | Name                                  | Forest Law | Land Revenue | Procedure and Accounts |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|
| 1          | Shri Brij Bhushan, H.F.S..II          | Pass       | —            | Pass                   |
| 2          | Shri Madan Mohan Mehta,<br>H.F.S., II | —          | Pass         | —                      |
| 3          | Shri Hari Ram, Forest Ranger          | —          | —            | Fail                   |

VINEET NAYYAR, Secy.

#### DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT DEPARTMENT

The 12th January, 1972

No. 10112-2ECDI-71/492.—Shri Sat Pal Singh, Agricultural Inspector, will hold the current charges of the Bhuna Block in addition to his own duty till a regular Block Development and Panchayat Officer is posted in that Block.

2. While holding the charge, the aforementioned Officer shall exercise the powers of Drawing, Disbursing and Controlling Officer in respect of the Bhuna Block.

N.K.S. JHALA, Dy. Secy.

#### ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT

The 11th January, 1972

No. 12146-FAH-(VI)-71/476.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to order that the Veterinary Assistant Surgeons in the state shall be considered as H. V. S. Class II, (Junior Scale) in the Scale of Rs. 350—25—500/30—650/30—800 with effect from 1st February, 1969.

G. L. BAILUR, Secy.